

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,781	05/03/2001	Mitchell C. Sanders	3265.1001-000	6639	
21005 7	7590 04/22/2003				
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			EXAMINER		
530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 913		SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S			
CONCORD, M	1A 01742-9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1645	1/	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	(<i>p</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Amaliant	ion No	_	A !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! !! -		
Office Action Commons		Applicat	Application No.			Applicant(s)	
		09/848,7	09/848,781		SANDERS, MITCHELL C.		
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r		Art Unit		
			Shahnan-Sha		1645		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover shee	et with the co	rrespondence ad	dress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION in sions of time may be available under the provisions of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, ma atutory minimum o will expire SIX (6) plication to becom	ay a reply be time of thirty (30) days MONTHS from the ne ABANDONED	will be considered timely ne mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	ed patent term adjustinent. See St CFN 1.104(b).						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>10 December</u>	2002 and 07	7 February 2	<u>2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract		•			e merits is	
·	ion of Claims						
,—	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the a	• •					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are w	withdrawn from cons	ideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 10-16</u> is/are rejecte	ed.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric ion Papers	tion and/or election	requirement.				
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the	e Evaminer					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		Tobjected to I	hy the Exam	niner .		
السارة.	Applicant may not request that any obje		-	.			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed			_		er.	
,	If approved, corrected drawings are rec	•	• • • •	,,	•		
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•				
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.			•	
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received i	in Applicatio	n No		
* 5	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT	Γ Rule 17.2(a	a)).		Stage	
14)⊠ <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority u	under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).	
) The translation of the foreign lan Acknowledgment is made of a claim for						
Attachmen	_			JJ	,		
2) 🔯 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			e of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT		

Application/Control Number: 09/848,781

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's preliminary amendments, received 2/07/2003, paper # 14 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-4, 8 and 9 were amended. New claims 10-16 were added.

2. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement, received 12/10/2002, paper # 13 is acknowledged. The references are considered by the examiner, see attached form 1449.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Applicant's election with traverse of 2/07/2003, paper # 14 is acknowledged. The traversal on the ground that inventions of groups I and II are interrelated and examination of groups I and II together is requested by the applicant has been noted. This is found persuasive and therefore, groups I and II will be rejoined.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are pending. Claims 5-9 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected inventions
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 10-16 are under consideration.

Specification Informalities

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Appropriate correction is required.

Specification page 16, line 19 the abbreviation PVDF is used, the full name or explanation of the above abbreviation is required when appears in the specification for the first time.

The use of the trademarks i.e. Novagen have been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,781 Page 3

Art Unit: 1645

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Drawings

7. The drawings are objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152. See attached form PTO 948.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claim 1-4 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of detecting *Listeria monocytogenes* in sample, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of detecting all prokaryotic microorganisms or a plurality of pathogenic microorganisms. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Enablement is considered in view of the Wands factors (MPEP) 2164.01(a). Enablement requires that the specification teach those in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) the nature of the invention, (2) the state of the prior art, (3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, (4) the amount of direction or guidance present, (5) the presence or absence of working examples (6) the quantity of experimentation, (7) the relative

Application/Control Number: 09/848,781

Art Unit: 1645

skill of those in the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

Claim 1 recites a method of detecting the presence or absence of a prokaryotic microorganism in a sample. The scope of the claim encompass all prokaryotic microorganism. Claim 4 recites a method of detecting a plurality of pathogenic microoraganisms in a sample. The scope of the claim encompass all pathogenic microorganisms including prokaryotic and eukaryotic such as pathogenic parasites, fungi, viruses, bacteria and chlamydia. The specification teaches only detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* (page 4, lines 20-25) and a FRET assay for detecting Listeria monocytogenes by measuring metalloprotease (mpl), which is found only in the pathogenic species of Listeria monocytogenes (page 8). The breadth of the claims are extremely broad, encompassing all pathogenic microorganisms. The amount of direction or guidance is limited to the isolation and detection of pathogenic species of *Listeria* monocytogenes. It is well known in the art that the detection of microorganisms is very important in particular in the food industry. It is also taught that various methods detect the presence of microorganisms in a medium of some kind to be demonstrated, consisting in taking a sample and promote the growth of the microorganism present in the sample by a suitable medium or a method. It has also been recognized in the art that although all of these media are efficacious in detecting microorganisms of specific genus, the do not, however, permit the detection of a large number of microorganisms of different genera or differentiation of pathogenic species from others (see column 1, Rambach US 5,716,799, prior art of record, applicant's 1449). While studies have shown that bacterial pathogens secrete a wide array of proteases, many of which have been extensively characterized at functional and structural levels. Although microbial proteases are now standard tools in biochemistry and cell biology, there is a

Art Unit: 1645

discrepant paucity of information regarding the biological events that they may provoke in a physiological setting. Most studies deal with the problem of local substrate cleavage (see, page 3646, Vollmer et al. Infection and Immunity Vol. 64, 1996 prior art of record, applicant's 1449). Because of lack of guidance provide by the specification in regard to other pathogenic microorganisms and, in view of the lack of predictability in the art, it is determined that it would require undue experimentation by one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kraft, G.A. (EP 0428000A1). Prior art of the record, applicant's 1449.

The claims are drawn to a method of detecting presence of a prokaryotic or a pathogenic microorganism in a sample, the method comprising the steps of:

- contacting a test sample with a substrate specific for a protease that is unique to the organism; and
- detecting cleavage of the substrate.

Kraft, G.A. teaches a method of detecting presence of a prokaryotic or a pathogenic microorganism in a sample, the method comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 09/848,781

Art Unit: 1645

 contacting a test sample with a substrate specific for a protease that is unique to the organism; and

• detecting cleavage of the substrate.

see pages 2, 3 and examples 2 and 3. Kraft, G.A. also teaches measuring this activity using a quenched label. Kraft, G.A. teaches detecting the presence of pathogenic microorganism such as human immunodeficiency virus and avian myeloblastosis virus. (see page 3). The prior art anticipates the claimed method.

Since the office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing applicant's method with the method of the prior art, the burden is on the applicant to show a novel or unobvious difference between the claimed method and the method of the prior art (i. e., that the method of prior art does not possess the same reagents, method steps and functional characteristics of the claimed method). See <u>In re Best</u>, 562 F.2 d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and <u>In re Fitzgerald et al.</u>, 205 USPQ 594.

Conclusion

10. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-8896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM - 4 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned to is (703) 305-3014.

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Khatol Shahnan-Shah, BS, Pharm, MS

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1645

April 15, 2002

MARK NAVARRO RIMARY EXAMINER